

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,860	10/30/2003	Tim C. Lieuwen	17625.0062	8067
29052 7590 09/04/2007 SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP			EXAMINER	
	EE STREET, N.E.	FREAY, CHARLES GRANT		
ATLANTA, GA 30309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3746	
	·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	$\mathbb{H}$		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/696,860	LIEUWEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles G. Freay	3746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed  m the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. \$ 133)	
Status		·	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Juli</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-40 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the specific and the specif	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action of form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in Applicatority documents have been received in Applicatority documents have been received.	tion No red in this National Stage	
	,		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A\ \ Intonvious \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	v (PTO 413)	
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)	Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/696,860

Art Unit: 3746

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-3, 33 and 40, drawn to a system and method for detecting blowout precursors, classified in class 60, subclass 779.
- II. Claims 4-8, drawn to a method of determining blowout based upon raw data analysis method of detecting blowout precursors, classified in class 702, subclass 79.
- III. Claims 9-13, drawn to a method of determining blowout based upon spectral analysis, classified in class 702, subclass 77.
- IV. Claims 14-22, drawn to a method of determining blowout based upon statistical analysis, classified in class 702, subclass 78.
- V. Claims 23-32, drawn to a method of determining blowout based upon wavelet analysis, classified in class 702, subclass 66.
- VI. Claims 34-39, drawn to a method of controlling a combustor, classified in class 60, subclass 776.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and (II-V) are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other

Art Unit: 3746

combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the use of the broadly claimed data analysis methods in the combination is set forth in the alternative and therefore the combination can be performed or operated without any of the steps of each of the detailed subcombinations individually. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a detection system where each of the individual data analysis methods is the only method used to determine if blowout is about to occur.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions (I-V) and VI are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed

Application/Control Number: 10/696,860

Art Unit: 3746

does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination could be performed using a method which does not require data analysis. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a land based gas turbine which immediately activates an alarm when blowout precursors reach a predetermined magnitude.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions II, III, IV and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombinations have a separate utility as data analysis methods for determining blowout precursors. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/696,860

Art Unit: 3746

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 3746

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles G. Freay whose telephone number is 571-272-4827. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charles G Freay Primary Examiner Art Unit 3746

CGF August 28, 2007